

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 JOSEPH E. FLOREN, State Bar No. 168292
 KIMBERLY A. KANE, State Bar No. 226896
 One Market Street, Spear Street Tower
 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
 Tel: 415.442.1000
 Fax: 415.442.1001
 jfloren@moganlewis.com
 kkane@morganlewis.com

ROBERT E. GOODING, JR., State Bar No. 50617
 JENNIFER R. BAGOSY, State Bar No. 223145
 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750
 Irvine, CA 92614
 Tel: 949.399.7000
 Fax: 949.399.7001
 rgooding@morganlewis.com
 jbagosy@morganlewis.com

MARC J. SONNENFELD (*pro hac vice*)
 KAREN PIESLAK POHLMANN (*pro hac vice*)
 1701 Market Street
 Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921
 Tel: 215.963.5740
 Fax: 215.963.5001
 msonnenfeld@morganlewis.com
 kpohlmann@morganlewis.com

Attorneys for Nominal Defendant
 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
 SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION,

Master File No. 12-cv-6003 CRB

**STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES TO
 STAY CASE THROUGH JULY 31,
 2013; ORDER**

Dept.: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor
 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

This Document Relates to: All Actions

1 WHEREAS, on May 3, 2013, Plaintiff Stanley Morrical (“Plaintiff”) filed his
 2 Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint (“Complaint”) asserting claims on behalf of
 3 Nominal Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”), arising from or relating to HP’s
 4 acquisition in 2011 of Autonomy Corporation plc (“Autonomy”) and surrounding circumstances
 5 (the “Derivative Complaint”);

6 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court’s Order entered February 21, 2013 (Dkt. No. 61),
 7 responses to the Complaint are currently due no earlier than July 2, 2013; briefs in opposition to
 8 motions in response to the Complaint are due September 3, 2013; and reply briefs on such
 9 motions are due October 3, 2013;

10 WHEREAS, on May 10, 2013, HP filed a Motion to Stay this action until the earlier of
 11 (a) when the committee, formed by HP’s Board of Directors to investigate potential claims by the
 12 Company, completes its investigation and makes a recommendation to the Board, and the Board
 13 makes its decision whether to pursue this litigation; or (b) when the Court decides a motion to
 14 dismiss in the related securities class action arising from the Autonomy acquisition entitled *In re*
 15 *HP Securities Litigation*, No. 12-cv-5980 CRB; and in any event not later than (c) January 17,
 16 2014 (the “Motion to Stay”) (Dkt. No. 76);

17 WHEREAS, Plaintiff’s opposition to HP’s Motion to Stay is due on May 24, 2013, and
 18 the Motion is set for hearing on June 21, 2013; and

19 WHEREAS, counsel for HP and Plaintiff have met and conferred regarding the issues
 20 presented by HP’s Motion to Stay, and have reached agreement that a temporary stay of this
 21 action as provided herein is warranted based on the current facts and circumstances and
 22 considerations of judicial economy and efficiency, with each party reserving all rights and
 23 arguments, and without prejudice to any party seeking or opposing a further continuation of or
 24 termination of the stay; and,

25 WHEREAS, the parties agree that nothing herein shall be deemed an acknowledgement or
 26 concession by Plaintiff with respect to the arguments in HP’s Motion to Stay, or an
 27 acknowledgement or concession by HP that the duration of the stay provided herein is or will be
 28 sufficient,

1 NOW, THEREFORE, subject to the Court's approval, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED
 2 AND AGREED by and among all parties who have appeared in this action, through their
 3 undersigned counsel of record, as follows:

4 1. This action is stayed in its entirety. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, this
 5 action shall remain stayed until July 31, 2013.

6 2. During the time when this action is stayed, no Defendant shall be required to file
 7 any answer, motion, or other response to the Complaint. The schedule for responsive pleadings
 8 and motions, as established in the Court's February 21, 2013 Order, shall be and is hereby
 9 continued for the duration of the stay, such that (a) Defendants' answers, motions, or other papers
 10 in response to the Complaint are due on or before September 10, 2013; (b) in the event
 11 Defendants file any motions directed at the Complaint, Plaintiff's opposition briefs shall be filed
 12 on or before November 12, 2013; and (c) reply briefs on such motions shall be on or before
 13 December 12, 2013.

14 3. HP's Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 76) is off calendar, without prejudice to HP
 15 renewing that motion or otherwise seeking a further stay of the action.

16 4. The stay shall not prevent any party from filing or opposing a motion or stipulation
 17 seeking to terminate, continue, or extend the stay of the action. This stipulated stay shall not
 18 prejudice any rights or future arguments of any party with respect to the need for or appropriate
 19 duration of the stay of the action, all of which rights and arguments are expressly reserved.

20 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

21 Dated: May 23, 2013

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

22 By /s/ Joseph E. Floren

23 Joseph E. Floren

24 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

25 I, Joseph E. Floren, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
 26 STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES TO STAY CASE THROUGH JULY 31, 2013;
 27 [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the
 28 signatories below has concurred in this filing.

1 Dated: May 23, 2013

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP

2
3 By /s/ Mark C. Molumphy

4 Mark C. Molumphy
Attorneys for Plaintiff
STANLEY MORRICAL

5 Dated: May 23, 2013

COOLEY LLP

6
7 By /s/ John C. Dwyer

8 John C. Dwyer
Attorneys for Defendant
MARGARET C. WHITMAN

9 Dated: May 23, 2013

10 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW
PITTMAN LLP / DEBEVOISE &
11 PLIMPTON LLP

12 By /s/ Bruce A. Ericson

13 Bruce A. Ericson
Colby A. Smith
14 Bruce E. Yannett
Attorneys for Defendant
15 LEO APOTHEKER

16 Dated: May 23, 2013

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
17 FLOM LLP

18 By /s/ Timothy A. Miller

19 Timothy A. Miller
Attorneys for Defendants
20 RAYMOND J. LANE, SHUMEET
BANERJI, RAJIV L. GUPTA, JOHN H.
HAMMERGREN, MARC L.
21 ANDREESSEN, PATRICIA F. RUSSO,
ANN M. LIVERMORE, GARY M.
22 REINER, G. KENNEDY THOMPSON,
RALPH V. WHITWORTH, LAWRENCE
23 T. BABBIO, JR., SARI M. BALDAUF,
and DOMINIQUE SENEQUIER

24 Dated: May 23, 2013

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

25 By /s/ Daniel Bookin

26 Daniel Bookin
Attorneys for Defendant
27 SHANE ROBISON

1 Dated: May 23, 2013

SHERMAN & STERLING LLP

2
3 By /s/ Patrick D. Robbins
4 Patrick D. Robbins
5 Attorneys for Defendant
PERELLA WEINBERG PARTNERS LP

6 Dated: May 23, 2013

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

7 By /s/ Brendan P. Cullen
8 Brendan P. Cullen
9 Attorneys for Defendant
BARCLAYS CAPITAL

ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing,

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: May 24, 2013

